



ArbTS - Arboricultural Technician Services

(Tree Consultancy Services)

Stephen Lucocq BSc (Hons), Tech Cert (ArborA), M.Arbor.A Professional Member of the Arboricultural Association

Web site: www.ArbTS.co.uk
Email: info@ArbTS.co.uk
Phone: (01639) 731 139
Mobile: 07789 551 591

Arboricultural Report

Including:

Tree Survey Data

&

Tree Constraints Plan (TCP)

To the British Standard 5837:2012 (Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction. Recommendations)

Date – 17th October 2018

Site – Land Adjacent to A48, Pyle, Bridgend

Project Reference - ArbTS 556.1 Pyle

Table of Contents

1.0	Introductio	n	3
2.0	The Tree Su	urvey	3
3.0	The Trees		4
4.0	Tree Const	raints Plan Information	4
5.0	Tree Protec	ction Information	5
6.0	Conclusion		5
7.0	Qualification	ons & Further Information	6
8.0	Bibliograph	ny & Web Information	7
9.0	Appendix		
	1A	Tree Survey Data	
	1B	Detailed Tree Survey Data Summary	
	2	Tree Constraints Plan	
	3	Tree Survey Key	
	4	An Introduction to Tree Protection	
	5	Tree Photographs	

Copyright © 2018

ArbTS, 2 Tan Y Graig, Caerlan, Abercraf, SA9 1TA. All rights reserved.

No part of this report may be copied or reproduced by any means without prior written permission from ArbTS.

If you have received this report in error, please destroy all copies in your possession or control.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the commissioning party and unless otherwise agreed in writing by ArbTS, no other party may use, make use of or rely on the contents of this report.

No liability is accepted by ArbTS for any use of this report, other than for the purposes for which it was originally prepared and provided.

Opinions and information provided in the report are on the basis of ArbTS using due skill, care and diligence in the preparation of the same and no warranty is provided as to their accuracy.

Surveys are undertaken on the understanding that nothing in the final report will be omitted, amended or misrepresented by the client or any other interested party.

This report and its contents remain the property of ArbTS until payment has been made in full.

It should be noted and it is expressly stated that no independent verification of any of the documents or information supplied to ArbTS has been made.

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to give an overview assessment as to the quality and constraints of the trees and hedgerows at Land Adjacent to A48, Pyle, Bridgend. The findings of this survey will be used to inform future design proposals, to preserve and minimise damage to the important trees and hedgerows on or adjacent to this site.
- 1.2 This report identifies the quality of the trees on or adjacent to this site as categorised by the *British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations*. The survey and findings as reported here represent an unbiased third party opinion offering professional advice as to the value of the trees on this site. A Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) has been drawn, as found in Appendix 2, to illustrate the constraints identified trees pose to the design of future development.
- 1.3 Arboricultural constraints within the surveyed site relate primarily to the preservation of trees recommended for retention. Identified trees must be protected during the construction phase through the employment of a combination of protective barriers, ground protection zones and tree safe construction methods, designed by a suitably qualified Arboriculturist.
- 1.4 The trees' root systems and the associated soil structure is often over looked during the construction process, and can be damaged or altered by compaction, causing major damage to the health of the tree. Generally, the entire root system of the tree is within the top 600mm of soil where it can be easily damaged. A calculated area of ground around the tree should be protected for the duration of the onsite construction phase. In this report it is referred to as the Root Protection Area (RPA).
- 1.5 No Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan or Tree Protection Method Statement are included within this report. No assessment has been made regarding the suitability of the proposed development design within this report.

2.0 The Tree Survey

- 2.1 The tree survey was conducted by Stephen Lucocq *BSc (Hons), Tech Cert (ArborA) , M.Arbor.A* on 15th October 2018.
- 2.2 All observations were made from the ground with the aid of an acoustic sounding hammer. No invasive decay detective instruments were used.
- 2.3 The survey was carried out in accordance to *British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations.* This standard gives a systematic, consistent and transparent evaluation method to tree surveying.
- 2.4 The survey was conducted with the aid of an OS master map plan. Trees and hedgerows were plotted at +/- 2 metres accuracy.
- 2.5 **Preliminary management recommendations:** The survey has identified preliminary management recommendation for the trees on or adjacent to this site. Details

regarding these identified operations are given in this report (See Appendix 1 - Tree Survey Data). Where work priority is stated to be H – High due to safety reasons, these operations should be carried out as soon as practically possible. Where work priority is stated to be M/H – medium/high or higher, these operations should be undertaken before commencement of any works on site.

2.6 **Limitations of the tree survey**: This survey was carried out to provide an overview assessment of the quality and constraints of the trees on or adjacent to this site. No responsibility can be taken for resultant damage or injury occurred by a failing tree. The survey only gives a snap shot of what is visible and is not obscured on the day of the survey. The survey identifies trees of varying quality and their above ground/below ground constraints. This survey does not constitute a full detailed tree condition/tree risk assessment of the site and this report is only valid for 12 months from the date of the tree survey.

3.0 The Trees

- 3.1 The full tree survey data can be found in Appendix 1A Tree Survey Data.
- 3.2 Tree Survey Summary Table (See Appendix 3 for BS5837 category definitions). (A more detailed Tree Survey Data Summary can be found in Appendix 1B)

<i>BS5837:2012</i> Quality Category	Total Number of Individual Trees Surveyed	Total Number of Tree Groups Surveyed	Total Number of Tree Areas Surveyed	Total Number of Woodland Areas Surveyed	Total Number of Hedgerows Surveyed	Total
A (High - Most desirable for retention)	1	0	0	0	0	1
B (Moderate - Desirable for retention)	0	18	1	0	0	19
C (Low - Optional for retention)	2	8	1	0	43	54
U (Poor - Unsuitable for retention)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total A,B,C,U	3	26	2	0	43	74

4.0 Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) Information

4.1 A Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) can be found at Appendix 2 of this report. An introduction to TCP can also be found at the start of Appendix 2. For further information and details regarding TCP please see the *British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations.*

5.0 Tree Protection Information

5.1 No Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan or Tree Protection Method Statement are included within this report for the proposed development design. An introduction to Tree Protection can be found at Appendix 4.

6.0 Conclusion

- 6.1 This site has potential to accommodate development whilst retaining the trees of value. The significant trees on or adjacent to this site should be given due consideration in the development design process.
- 6.2 If the health and stability of the trees are maintained, and the following strategies implemented: a suitable development design; tree protection methods; Arboricultural site supervision and tree after care, the process of construction could be conducted with no adverse impact on the important trees upon or adjacent to this site.

7.0 Further Information & Qualifications

Stephen Lucocq has been involved in Arboriculture within South Wales for nearly twenty years. He has worked as an Arborist for many of these years and has a good working knowledge of the practical side of the profession. He has always taken an active interest in all areas of Arboriculture and kept up to date with current research and developments.

Qualifications

- First Class BSc (Hons) Degree Combined Studies Biology and IT
- Arboricultural Association Technicians Certificate Level 4 (Merit)
- PTI Professional Tree Inspection (Lantra Awards)
- 2D Computer Aided Design (City and Guilds Level 3)
- Quantified Tree Risk Assessment (QTRA) Mike Ellison
- Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) Mike Ellison
- Arboriculture and Bats (Lantra)
- Industrial Rope Access Trade Association (IRATA)
- Practical Arboriculture Qualifications (NPTC)

Membership

Arboricultural Association Professional Member (M.Arbor.A)

8.0 Web Information & Bibliography

Web Information

Arboricultural Association

http://www.trees.org.uk/

Cellular Confinement System

GeoWeb - GreenFix

CellWeb - Geosynthetics Cellweb

Underground Utilities Installation

http://www.njug.org.uk/

Bibliography

- British Standards 3998 (2010) Recommendations for Tree Work UK; British Standards Intuition
- British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations UK; British Standards Intuition
- Coombes, A.J (1992) Trees London; Dorling Kindersley
- Lonsdale, D (1999) Principle of Tree Hazard Assessment and Management Edinburgh; Forestry Commission
- Mattheck, C (2007) Field Guide for Visual Tree Assessment Germany;
 Karlsruhe Research Centre
- Shigo, A.L (1991) Modern Arboriculture USA; Shigo and Trees, Association
- Sterry, P (2007) Collins Complete British Trees London; Collins
- Strouts, R.G (2000) Diagnosis of ill-health in trees Edinburgh; Forestry Commission
- Weber,K & Mattheck, C (2003) Manual of wood decay UK; Arboricultural Association

9.0 Appendix 1A -Tree Survey Data

Tree ID	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam (mm)	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch Height)	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond	Est. Remain Contrib	Comr	nents	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)
A1	Fraxinus excelsior (Ash), Quercus robur (Common Oak), Salix caprea (Goat Willow), Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	EM	1	200	B2	7(0)	2	2	2	2	F	F	20+		area of small trees and scrub growing on motorway embankment, western end sparse in tree cover			2.4	18.1
A2	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore), Fraxinus excelsior (Ash), Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn), Prunus spinosa (Blackthorn)	SM	1	150	C2	5(0)	2	2	2	2	G/F	F	20+		sparse area of small trees and scrub growing on motorway embankment			1.8	10.18
G1	Fraxinus excelsior (Ash),Prunus spinosa (Blackthorn),Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Sambucus nigra (Elder)	EM	1	300	C2	8(0)	3	3	3	3	F	F	20+		area of mainly blackthorn scrub with two early mature ash trees not, group located in small depression in the ground			3.6	40.72
G2	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore), Prunus avium (Wild Cherry), Acer platanoides (Norway Maple), Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn), Cypress spp (Cypress spp), Aesculus hippocastanum (Horse Chestnut)	EM	1	400	B2	9(0)	3	3	3	3	G/F	F	20+		road side group of trees and shrub of moderate value			4.8	72.39
	Fraxinus excelsior (Ash), Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn), Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore), Prunus spinosa (Blackthorn), Acer campestre (Field Maple), Salix fragilis (Crack Willow), Salix caprea (Goat Willow), Cypress spp (Cypress spp)	EM	1	250	B2	8(2)	2	2	2	2	G/F	F	20+		group of trees and scrub growing along motorway and road, some sparse areas and sprawling scrub noted			3	28.28

Tree ID	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam (mm)	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch Height)	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond	Est. Remain Contrib	Comments	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)
G4	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Corylus avellana (Hazel),Ilex aquifolium (Holly),Fraxinus excelsior (Ash),Prunus spinosa (Blackthorn)	М	1	200	C2	5(2)	4	4	4	4	F	F	10+	small section of recently unmanaged hedgerow			2.4	18.1
G5	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Fraxinus excelsior (Ash),Malus (Apple)	EM	1	350	C2	10(0)	5	5	5	5	F	F	10+	elapsed managed section of hedgerow that has developed into multistemmed trees			4.2	55.42
G6	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Salix caprea (Goat Willow),Prunus spinosa (Blackthorn)	EM	1	150	C2	4(2)	2	2	2	2	F	F	10+	road side group of trees and scrub with some gaps noted			1.8	10.18
G7	Fagus sylvatica (Beech)	EM	1	550	B2	10(2)	5	5	5	5	G/F	G/F	20+	road side group of beech trees			6.6	136.9
G8	Prunus spinosa (Blackthorn),Pinus nigra (Austrian Pine),Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Salix caprea (Goat Willow),Fagus sylvatica (Beech),Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	EM	1	400	B2	15(3)	4	4	4	4	F	F	20+	road side group of trees and scrub, some pine with sparse needle cover noted	thin out weak declining / dead trees	H/M	4.8	72.39
G9	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore),Fagus sylvatica (Beech),Pinus nigra (Austrian Pine),Acer campestre (Field Maple)	EM	1	450	B2	14(4)	5	5	5	5	F	F	20+	road side group of trees and scrub, some pine with sparse needle cover noted	thin out weak declining / dead trees	H/M	5.4	91.62
G10	Acer campestre (Field Maple),Corylus avellana (Hazel)	EM	1	200	C2	4(2)	3	3	3	3	F	F	10+	small section of recently unmanaged hedgerow			2.4	
G11	various tree and scrub spp (various tree and scrub spp)	EM	1	250	B2	7(0)	3	3	3	3	G/F	F	20+	roas side group of trees			3	28.28

Tree ID	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam (mm)	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond	Est. Remain Contrib	Comments		RPR (m)	RPA (m2)
G12	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	EM	1	450	B2	Height) 8(3)	5	5	5	5	G/F	G/F	20+	small row of sycan	more	5.4	91.62
G13	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore),Fraxinus excelsior (Ash),Acer campestre (Field Maple)	EM	1	450	B2	10(3)	7	7	7	7	F	F	20+	road side group of some scrub areas		5.4	91.62
G14	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	EM	1	450	B2	10(0)	6	6	6	6	F	F	20+	road side group of some gaps and scr	of trees and scrub, crubby areas noted	5.4	91.62
G15	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore), Prunus spinosa (Blackthorn), Sorbus aria (Whitebeam), Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn)	EM	1	450	B2	9(0)	6	6	6	6	F	F	20+	low B category. road side group of some gaps and scr	of trees and scrub, crubby areas noted	5.4	91.62
G16	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore), Quercus robur (Common Oak), Acer campestre (Field Maple)	EM	1	500	B2	13(4)	6	6	6	6	G/F	G/F	20+	road side row of ti	trees	6	113.1
G17	various tree and scrub spp (various tree and scrub spp)	EM	1	350	B2	10(0)	3	3	3	3	G/F	G/F	20+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	ees and scrubs	4.2	55.42
G18	various tree and scrub spp (various tree and scrub spp)	EM	1	350	B2	10(0)	3	3	3	3	G/F	G/F	20+	Located on private land preventing a close inspection of the tree therefore railway line, unabl all observations and measurements are estimated.	ole to survey closely	4.2	55.42
G19	various tree and scrub spp (various tree and scrub spp)	EM	1	250	C2	6(0)	4	4	4	4	F	N/A	10+	unable to survey o located in field	closely as cattle	3	28.28
G20	various tree and scrub spp (various tree and scrub spp)	EM	1	400	B2	14(0)	5	5	5	5	F	N/A	20+	boundary group o survey closely as o field		4.8	72.39

Tree ID	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond	Est. Remain	Com	ments	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)
				(mm)		Height)							Contrib				,	()	(/
G21	Fagus sylvatica (Beech),Pinus nigra (Austrian Pine)	EM	1	500	B2	10(3)	5	5	5	5	G/F	G/F	20+					6	113.1
G22	Fagus sylvatica (Beech), Acer	EM	1	300	C2	10(4)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	G/F	G/F	20+		road side row of trees			3.6	40.72
	campestre (Field Maple)																		
G23	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore), Fraxinus excelsior (Ash), Acer campestre (Field Maple), Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn), Salix caprea (Goat Willow)	EM	1	450	B2	11(3)	7	7	7	7	F	F	20+		road side group of trees and scrub, some scrubby areas noted, overhanging carriageway	fell declining branches / trees and reduce overextend overhanging branch over the carriage by 30 to 40 percent in branch length	н/м	5.4	91.62
G24	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	EM	1	550	B2	8(3)	5	5	5	5	G/F	G/F	20+		end tree of small row of sycamore			6.6	136.9
G25	Cypress spp (Cypress spp)	EM	1	300	C2	7(0)	3	3	3	3	G/F	F	10+		row of conifer spp			3.6	40.72
G26	Fagus sylvatica (Beech),Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	М	1	800	B2	16(6)	9	9	9	9	F	F	20+	low B category.	one large beech with southern stem removed and two smaller suppressed sycamore trees, unable to survey closely as cattle located in field			9.6	289.6
H1	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	100	C2	1.5(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	G/F	G/F	20+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	100	C2	1.5(0)		2	2		G/F	G/F	20+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Н3	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	100	C2	2(0)		1.5			F	F	10+	A hedgerow with no noticeable gaps noted.				1.2	4.52
H4	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	100	C2	2(0)		1.5			G/F	G/F	20+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
H5	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	100	C2	1.5(0)		1.5			F	F	10+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Н6	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	200	C2	5(0)	3		3	3	G/F	G/F	20+	A hedgerow with minor gaps noted.	Field boundary hedgerow, thick and overgrown in places			2.4	18.1
H7	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	100	C2	2(0)	2	2	2	2	F	F	20+	A hedgerow with minor gaps noted.	Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Н8	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	150	C2	4(0)		3.5			F	F	20+	high C category.	Field boundary hedgerow				10.18
Н9	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	100	C2	1(0)	1	1	1	1	F/P	F/P	10+	low C category. A hedgerow with major gaps noted.	Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
H10	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	150	C2	3(0)	3	3	3	3	G/F	G/F	20+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.8	10.18

Math Mathematical Math Mathematical Mathe					Stem		Height +							Est.						
Mathematic registron (sign)	Tree ID #	Tree Species	Age	Stems		Cat		Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond		Com	ments	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)
Mathematical Section	H11	Native Hedgerow Spp	М	1	150	C2		2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	F	F	10+		Field houndary hedgerow			1.8	10 18
Branch Conference Sept				_	130	CZ	2(0)	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.5			10.		licia bodinary neagerow			1.0	10.10
Control (Color Ministry) Color Ministry Color Minis	H12		EM	1	100	C2	2.5(0)	3	3	3	3	F	F	10+		sprawling area of scrub and			1.2	4.52
Proceedings Procedings Proceedings Procedings Proceedings Pr																				
Second Color Miles																trees				ı
No.																				
Product before Spot		capica (coat minon)																		ı
Product before Spot	H12	Native Hedgerow Con	M	1	150	C2	1 5(0)	2	2	2	2	-	E/D	10+	A hadgerow with major gans noted	Field houndary hedgerow			1 0	10.19
11.0 Native Hedgerow Sport M 1 100 CZ 150 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	1113		IVI	1	130	CZ	1.5(0)				_	'	1/1	101	A neugerow with major gaps noteu.	i leiu boulluar y fleugerow			1.0	10.10
March Performance Spr: March 1, 100 C2 200 1, 5 1,	H14		М	1	100	C2	1.5(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	20+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Matter Netgerow Sep																				
Matten M	H15		М	1	100	C2	2(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	20+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Native Hedgerous Spg M	H16		М	1	100	C2	2(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	10+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Makes Hedgerow Spg)							_(-,	1					-			,				1
High Patrice Hedgeron Spg Mark 1 10 10 12 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	H17		М	1	100	C2	1.5(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	20+	A hedgerow with minor gaps noted.	Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Native Hedgerow Spg)								1		L		_								
History Marker Hedgerow Spp Marker Hed	H18		М	1	100	C2	1.5(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	20+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Native Hedgerow Spp M 1 100 C2 1,500 1,5	H19		М	1	100	C2	2(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	20+	major gaps noted.	Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Native Hedgerow Spp M							` ′									,				1
Native Hedgerow Spp M 1 100 C2 1.5 101 1.5	H20		М	1	100	C2	1.5(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	20+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Native Hedgerow Spp N			L		400		4 = (0)					_								4.50
122 State Hedgerow Spp M 1 100 C2 15(0) 1.5	H21		M	1	100	C2	1.5(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	10+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Native Hedgerow Spp N 1 10 C2 10 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	H22		М	1	100	C2	1.5(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	10+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Native Hedgerow Spp																-				
Native Hedgerow Spp M 1 100 C2 15(0) 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	H23		М	1	100	C2	1(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	10+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Native Hedgerow Spp M 1 100 C2 15(1) 15 15 15 F F 10+ A hedgerow with minor gaps noted. Field boundary hedgerow 1.2 4.52	шэл		N.4	1	100	C	1 5/0)	1.5	1 5	1 5	1 5	-	-	101		Field houndary hodgorow			1 2	4.52
Native Hedgerow Spp	п24		IVI	1	100	CZ	1.5(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	г	F	10+		Field boulidary fledgerow			1.2	4.32
H26 Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp (Nativ	H25		М	1	100	C2	1.5(1)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	10+	A hedgerow with minor gaps noted.	Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Native Hedgerow Spp																				
H27 Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp) M 1 1 100 C2 1.5(1) 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	H26		М	1	100	C2	1.5(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	20+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Native Hedgerow Spp	H27		М	1	100	C2	1.5(0)	15	1.5	15	15	G/F	G/F	20+		Field houndary hedgerow			1.2	4 52
Mative Hedgerow Spp M 1 100 C2 2(0) 1.5				_	100	02	1.5(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	٥,.	Ο,.	20.		licia soundary neageron			2.2	52
H29	H28		М	1	100	C2	1.5(1)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	10+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
Native Hedgerow Spp M 1 150 C2 2(0) 1.5	1120			-	400	62	2(0)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	-	_	40.					4.2	4.53
H30 Native Hedgerow Spp M 1 150 C2 2(0) 1.5	п29		IVI	1	100	C2	2(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	r	F	10+		Field boundary nedgerow			1.2	4.52
H31	H30		М	1	150	C2	2(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	G/F	G/F	20+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.8	10.18
(Native Hedgerow Spp) M 1 100 C2 1.25(0) 1.5																				
H32 Native Hedgerow Spp M 1 100 C2 1.25(0) 1.5 1	H31		M	1	150	C2	4(0)	2	2	2	2	F	F	10+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.8	10.18
(Native Hedgerow Spp) N Native Hedgerow Spp N Native Hedgerow Spp Native Hed	H32		М	1	100	C2	1 25(0)	1.5	1.5	15	1.5	F	F	10+	+	Field houndary bedgerow			1 2	4.52
H33	1132			_	100	CZ	1.25(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5			10.		licia bodinary neagerow			1.2	4.52
H34	H33	Native Hedgerow Spp	М	1	100	C2	2(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	10+	A hedgerow with no noticeable gaps	Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
(Native Hedgerow Spp)			<u> </u>		L			1	L.	<u> </u>					noted.			ļ		
H35 Native Hedgerow Spp M 1 100 C2 1(0) 1 1 1 1 1 F F 10+ Field boundary hedgerow, over grown with brambles and ferns 1.2 4.52 (Native Hedgerow Spp) H36 Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn), Fraxinus excelsior (Ash), Prunus spinosa (Blackthorn), Salix	H34		М	1	100	C2	1(0)	1	1	1	1	F	F	10+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
(Native Hedgerow Spp)	H35		М	1	100	C2	1(0)	1	1	1	1	F	F	10+	+	Field boundary hedgerow, over			1.2	4.52
(Hawthorn),Fraxinus excelsior (Ash),Prunus spinosa (Blackthorn),Salix				<u></u>				L												
excelsior (Ash), Prunus spinosa (Blackthorn), Salix	H36		EM	1	100	C2	2.5(0)	2	2	2	2	F	F	10+					1.2	4.52
spinosa (Blackthorn),Salix								1								brambles			l	.
																				ı
																				,
																				,

Tree ID #	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam (mm)	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch Height)	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond	Est. Remain Contrib	Com	ments	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority		RPA (m2)
	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	150	C2	4(0)	3	3	3	3	G/F	G/F	20+	high C category.	Field boundary hedgerow			1.8	10.18
H38	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	150	C2	3(0)	3	3	3	3	G/F	G/F	20+	A hedgerow with moderate gaps noted.	Field boundary hedgerow			1.8	10.18
H39	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	150	C2	3(0)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	F	F	20+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.8	10.18
H40	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	100	C2	1.25(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	10+	A hedgerow with minor gaps noted.	Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	100	C2	1.25(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	10+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	100	C2	1.5(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	10+		Field boundary hedgerow, unable to survey closely as cattle located in field			1.2	4.52
	Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	М	1	100	C2	1.5(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	F	F	10+		Field boundary hedgerow			1.2	4.52
	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	М	1	1150	A2	13(3)	10	9	9	10	G/F	N/A	20+		unable to be inspected due to cattle in field, appears a tree of some age and value when in inspected from road			13.8	598.4
T2	Malus (Apple)	М	1	300	C3	5(2)	4	4	4	4	F	F	10+		small fruit tree of moderate interest, animal damage to buttress noted			3.6	40.72
Т3	Pinus nigra (Austrian Pine)	EM	1	350	C2	14(4)	4	7	3	5	F/P	F	10+	Slightly sparse foliage cover.	small for species pine, two small low quality conifers and small copper beech noted adjacent to pine			4.2	55.42

9.0 Appendix 1B – Detailed Tree Survey Data Summary

(Please see Appendix 3 - Tree Survey Key)

Field Usage Results.		
Total Records: 74		
		% of
Туре	Count	Total
Т	3	4.1
G	26	35.1
А	2	2.7
Н	43	58.1
		% of
Tree Species	Count	Total
Native Hedgerow Spp (Native Hedgerow Spp)	41	55.4
Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	4	5.4
Malus (Apple)	1	1.4
Pinus nigra (Austrian Pine)	1	1.4
Fagus sylvatica (Beech)	1	1.4
various tree and scrub spp (various tree and scrub		
spp)	5	6.8
Cypress spp (Cypress spp)	1	1.4
		% of
Age	Count	Total
SM	1	1.4
EM	28	37.8
M	45	60.8
		% of
Cat	Count	Total
A2	1	1.4
B2	19	25.7
C2	53	71.6
C3	1	1.4
		% of
Average Stem Diameter	Count	Total
<150	33	44.6
<250	15	20.3
<500	20	27
<750	4	5.4
<1000	1	1.4
<2000	1	1.4

		% of
Height	Count	Total
<5	44	59.5
<10	14	18.9
<15	14	18.9
<20	2	2.7
		% of
Phy Cond	Count	Total
G/F	23	31.1
F	49	66.2
F/P	2	2.7
		% of
Stuc Cond	Count	Total
G/F	17	23
F	52	70.3
F/P	2	2.7
N/A	3	4.1
		% of
Est. Remain Contrib	Count	Total
10+	32	43.2
20+	42	56.8
		% of
RPR	Count	Total
<5	62	83.8
<10	11	14.9
<15	1	1.4
		% of
RPA	Count	Total
<5	33	44.6
<15	11	14.9
<20	4	5.4
<30	3	4.1
other	23	31.1

9.0 Appendix 2 - Tree Constraints Plan

An introduction to the Tree Constraints Plan (TCP)

Trees which have been identified to be retained should be treated as constraints to the design of future development. A Tree Constraints Plan has been drawn and can be found over leaf.

- Tree Quality The TCP highlights the above and below ground constraint each tree
 poses to the design of future development schemes. Further to this the BS5837 tree
 quality category (A High, B Moderate, C Low and U- Unsuitable for retention) are
 coloured coded as solid circles at the centre of the trees' position.
- Root Protection Area A magenta circle on the TCP sets out root protection area (RPA). Within this area no construction work, alteration in ground levels or site traffic (machinery or persons) should occur. This prevents damage to tree roots and soil compaction. (Where possible an Arboriculturist can design suitable tree protection methods to facilitate construction work/site traffic within these areas).
- Tree Canopy The jagged green circle/oval on the TCP sets out the above ground
 constraints of tree canopy spread. Within this area no construction work or site
 traffic (machinery or persons) should occur if the tree is to be retained. This prevents
 damage to the tree branches and trunk. (Where possible an Arboriculturist can
 design suitable tree protection methods to facilitate construction work/site traffic
 within these areas).
- Tree Shading Shade from the retained trees should be considered in the development design. The shade cast, depending on the trees height and width, will be from a North West to East pattern through the main part of the day.
- Tree Future growth Within future development design, consideration should also be given to the ultimate height and extent of the canopy spread of all trees within the site identified to be retained.





oup/Area/Woodland/Hedgerow
Tree ID# (G-Tree Group,
A-Tree Area, W-Woodland,
H- Hedgerow)
Tree Species
(Common Tree Name Shown)







9.0 Appendix 3 - Tree Survey Data Key

- Tree ID # Identifies the location of individual trees (T-ID Number), Groups of trees (G-ID Number), Area of trees (A-ID Number), Hedgerow (H-ID Number), Woodland (W-ID Number), Row of trees (R-ID Number) and tree Stumps (S-ID Number) on the accompanying plan. (Please note: A group of trees here refers to two or more standing trees that form a visual whole, whereas an area of trees refers to dispersed individual trees standing within the site)
- **Tree Species** Scientific names and common tree name in brackets are generally shown.
- Age
 - o (Y) Young Less than 1/3 of life completed
 - o (SM) Middle Aged 1/3 2/3 of life completed
 - o (EM) Early Mature Just entering Maturity
 - o (M) Mature more than 2/3 of life completed
 - o (OM) Over Mature more than 3/3 of life completed and declining
 - (V) Veteran (v) Veteran Veteran trees have no precise definition but are trees considered to be of biological aesthetic or ecological value because of their age
- Stems Number of tree stems used to calculate the RPR/RPA
- Stem Diam (mm) Diameter of tree stem measured in millimetres for single stem trees or average stem diameter calculated for multi-stemmed trees as detailed in section 4.6 & Annex C of the British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations. The height above ground level where the stem measurement was taken will be shown if not measured at 1.5 metres above ground level. (Please note: that the stem diameter of certain trees will have to be estimated due to difficulties in taking measurements or for trees with a large number of stems)
- Cat Tree Quality Category British Standard 5837:2012 A, B, C, U + 1, 2, 3

Based on BS5837:2012 categories A, B, C, U provides the basis of prioritising trees for retention:

- o A Those of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. (*Most desirable for retention*)
- o B Those of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years. (*Desirable for retention*)
- o C Those of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm. (*Optional for retention*)
- o U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. (*Unsuitable for retention unless provides high conservation value*)

Retention Criteria Subcategories: Used for identifying subcategories

E.g. A2 = A high quality tree with high landscape qualities (further details can be found in British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations UK; British Standards Intuition)

- o 1 Mainly Arboricultural qualities
- o 2 Mainly landscape qualities
- o 3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation
- Height + (Lower Branch Height) Tree height in metres and in brackets height in metres of the crown (tree branches) clearance at its lowest point above adjacent ground levels.
- Nrth, Est, Sth, Wst Crown Spread (Metres) -Tree branch spread in metres measured in four directions (North, East, South, West) from the trunk.
- Phys Cond Physiological Condition Indicating the health of the tree (rudimentary assessment carried out only)
 - o (G) Good
 - o (F) Fair
 - o (P) Poor
 - o (D) Dead
 - o (N/A) Not Applicable unable to fully inspect tree due to surrounding vegetation or access issues.
- **Struc Cond** Structural Condition indicting the structural integrity of the tree (rudimentary assessment carried out only)
 - o (G) Good No, or remediable physical defects or decay
 - o (F) Fair Physical non-remediable defects or decay present, not presenting imminent danger but should be monitored
 - o (P) Poor physical non-remediable defects or decay present, tree liable to imminent collapse or loss of major limbs.
 - o (D) Dead
 - (N/A) Not Applicable unable to fully inspect tree due to surrounding vegetation or access issues.
- Est. Remain Contrib (<10, 10+, 20+, 40+)

The trees estimated remaining contribution in years, recorded as:

- o <10 less than 10 years
- o 10+ at least 10 years
- o 20+ at least 20 years
- o 40+ at least 40 years
- Comments Additional Comments if required

- **Preliminary Management Recommendations** Work Recommendations, including further investigation of suspected defects that require more detailed assessment and pose potential for wildlife habitat.
- Work Priority Work Priority This gives a work priority rating of preliminary management for each tree.
 - o H High Urgent work to be carried out as soon as practicable due to safety reasons (Within 14 days).
 - o H/M High- Medium Work to be carried out within 6 months/or before construction phase begins
 - o M Medium Work to be carried out in 12 months
 - o L Low After consideration/Re-inspect in 18-24 months
 - o Blank No work required.
- RPR Root protection radius / RPA Root Protection Area Is a layout design tool
 indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and
 rooting volume to maintain the tree's viability, and where the protection of the roots
 and soil structure is treated as a priority. RPR is a circular area measured as a radius
 in metres from the centre of the tree or RPA is an area in metres squared. Where
 required this area may be changed in shape but not reduced in area whilst providing
 adequate protection of the tree's rooting system.

9.0 Appendix 4 – An Introduction to Tree Protection

For the purpose of this report an introduction is given to tree protection. If required an Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan and Tree Protection Methods Statement can be provided for the finalised development design.

Tree protection methods must be considered and designed by an Arboriculturist. These should then be implemented BEFORE any machinery or materials are bought onto site and before any demolition, development or stripping of soil commences. The Root Protection Area (RPA) (cyan circles/lines) indicated on the Tree Constraints Plan must be set out and the protective barriers and ground protection installed accordingly for retained trees. The protective barriers and ground protection areas shall be regarded as sacrosanct, and shall not be removed or altered without prior recommendation by an Arboriculturist and approval of the LPA.

The areas protected by barrier fencing and ground protection shall be subject to the following restrictions:

- Existing soil levels within the protected areas shall not be altered.
- No excavation of any kind shall take place within the protected areas.
- The protected areas shall not be used for storage of any kind.
- No vehicles or machinery shall be allowed into the areas protected by fencing.
- Should the developer require the above restrictions to be breached for unforeseen reasons, an appropriate method of works must be agreed with the Local Planning Authority prior to any works taking place within the protected areas.

Additional precautions outside protected barrier areas and ground protection:

- All underground services should be installed following NJUG Volume 4
 Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Apparatus in Proximity to Trees. The full document is available at http://www.njug.org.uk/.
- Building materials and fuels such as oil, bitumen or cement should not be stacked or discharged within 10 metres of the trees stem.
- Fires will not be lit beneath any tree or in a place where flames could extend to within 10 metres of the outer canopy of any tree.
- Trees that are to be retained and protected should not be used as anchorage for services or equipment.
- The use of cranes and large machinery on site should be planned and care taken not to damage the tree during the process.

Visits by an Arboriculturist during the construction process should be conducted to ensure all of the above are being strictly adhered too.

9.0 Appendix 5 – Tree Photographs

Tree ID#G7 Tree ID#G16





Tree ID#G15



Tree ID#G13 + G23



Tree ID#A1







Tree ID#T2 + G10

Tree ID#G4





Tree ID#G3







Tree ID#H6

Tree ID#G26





Tree ID#T3



Tree ID#T1

